TAKE ONE

Volume 6, Issue No. 18
WINTER, 1998

Editor-in-Chief

Wyndham Wise

Contributing Editors

Maurie Alioff Marc Glassman Tom McSorley

Editorial Board

From Winnipeg: David Barber; From Ottawa: Tom McSorley; From Toronto: Angela Baldassarre, Pamela Cuthbert, Marc Glassman, Geoff Pevere, Wyndham Wise; From Montreal: Maurie Alioff, Clare Valade; From Halifax: Ron Foley Macdonald

> Art Director Erick Querci

Copy Editor Geri Savits-Fine

Production Assistant Eve Goldin

Advertising Sales

Nardina Grande Arc Communications Phone: (416) 289-7123 Fax: (416) 289-7375

Take One is published four times a year by the Canadian Independent Film 6 Television Publishing Association, a nonprofit corporation. All the articles herein are copyrighted by Take One and may not be reproduced without permission. The opinions expressed within the magazine are those of the authors and not necessarily of the publisher.



LE CONSEIL DES ARTS
DU CANADA
DEPUIS 1957
THE CANADA COUNC
FOR THE ARTS
SENCE 1957

Take One is published with the generous assistance of the Canada Council and without any assistance whatsoever from the Ontario Arts Council.

Mailing address: 2255B Queen Street East, P.O. Box 151, Toronto, On., Canada M4E 1G3. For Subscription and Editorial Information: Phone: (416) 535-5244; Fax: (416) 535-2277; E-mail: takeone@interlog.com

Distributors:

CMPA in Canada: Ubiquity Distributors in the United States.

Printer:

C.J. Graphics, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Canadian Publications Mail Product Sales Agreement No. 733318.

ISSN 1192-5507

Take One is listed in the International Index to Film Periodicals, the Film Literature Index, the Canadian Periodical Index and the Canadian Magazine Index

By Wyndham Wise

"A magazine with such a healthy blend of popular and scholarly appeal is a rarity." Ginny Ratsoy, University College of the Cariboo

"Take One's writers are intelligent and knowledgeable. [Its] format is glossy and impressive as befits a national cinema." Melinda McCracken, writer

"I cannot stress enough how large an information vacuum *Take One* fills in this country, nor can I overstate the importance of a national magazine devoted exclusively to film and television." **Noreen Golfman**,

Memorial University of Newfoundland

"Surely a total elimination of [Take One's] grant while other publications have only had their grants reduced is inappropriate and unfair." David Perlmutter, producer

"I know the money is tight but hope the Ontario Arts Council will reconsider and support Take One, which is the only English-language magazine of its kind." Colleen Murphy, director/writer

"Take One's request for assistance through the Grants to Periodicals program has been denied."

Susan Hilary Cohen, director, Arts Program, Ontario Arts Council, October 17, 1997.

What's wrong with this picture? Plenty!

Apparently *Take One* was (and is) a "low priority" with the OAC and "the writing is not good enough" was the official reason given by the Literature Officer, a remark so profoundly absurd that it defies comprehension. And this happened without warning after *Take One* had been receiving grants from the OAC for three years, each one an increase on the previous one.

This notion that *Take One* is suddenly a "low priority" means, if anything, that the magazines that were funded by the OAC were of a higher priority. What stands out about the 31 magazines supported by the Council is the large amounts given the literary, social commentary and arts magazines, with *Brick* (\$25,800), *Descant* (\$25,800) and *This Magazine* (\$25,300) leading the way. Twenty–seven of the 31 would qualify in these categories and of the four remaining recipients, two are film related, the third is *Musicworks* and the fourth *Canadian Theatre Review*.

Editorial

The two film publications, POV and Cineaction, are worth looking at more closely, because prior to the cuts in 1995, there were three film publications funded by the OAC-Cineaction, POV and Take One. The fact that Take One was cut completely without warning reasonable explanation while the other two were only cut proportionately lies at the heart of this outrageous affair. Is it because Take One is popular or perhaps too slick, an argument that makes little sense when the OAC funds Canadian Art (\$21,400), the glossiest of glossy art magazines published in association with the deep pockets of Key Publishing? Or is it really because the writers of the award-winning calibre of Geoff Pevere, Brian D. Johnson, Gary Michael Dault, Douglas Fetherling, Martin Knelman, et al and Take One's knowledgeable editorial board are inferior to those writing for POV or Cineaction? Some people apparently believe in white rabbits who talk, because we're in Alice in Wonderland here. The total amount allotted to magazines by the OAC has been cut 40 per cent over the past two years, from \$691,400 to \$425,000; however, the cut to film publications has been 50 per cent over the same period, from \$51,000 for three publications in 1995 (or 7.5 per cent of the overall allocation) to \$25,100 for two publications in 1997 (or 6 per cent of the overall allocation). This disparity of 1.5 per cent is the proportionate amount that should have been allocated, in all fairness to Take One.

Take One has survived only with a great deal of difficulty over the past year, but with the support of our loyal advertisers and subscribers, we will continue to overcome this politically motivated decision. In a cruel irony, the OAC was directly responsible for the death of Cinema Canada when it cut its grant to Canada's longest running film magazine without warning in 1989. Take One is Cinema Canada's worthy successor and, if the gods of Canadian film are kind, it will not suffer the same fate at the hands of a cinematically challenged jury and the callous bureaucrats at the Ontario Arts Council.

Take One would like to acknowledge the support of the following organizations:





FILM DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION

SOCIÉTÉ DE DÉVELOPPEMENT
DE L'INDUSTRIE
CINÉMATOGRAPHIQUE ONTARIENN













