## **Contributors**

## FROM THE EDITOR

**Cynthia Amsden** is the head of The Canadian Weeklies Syndicate and she writes for *The National Post* and *Toronto Life*. She is a member of *Take One*'s editorial board.

**Dave Barber** is the program director of the Winnipeg Film Group Cinematheque.

**Cheryl Binning** is a Toronto-based writer specializing in film and television.

Marc Glassman is a Toronto writer, broadcaster, editor of several books on Canadian film including Speaking Parts by Atom Egoyan and Inside the Pleasure Dome: Fringe Film in Canada. He is the proprietor of Pages bookstore, and is a contributing editor to Take One.

Barbara Goslawski is the experimental film officer at the Canadian Filmmaker's Distribution Centre, co-host/producer of "Frameline" at CKLN-Toronto and a member of *Take One*'s editorial board.

Steve Gravestock is a regular contributor to Festival magazine and has written for The Toronto Star, NOW and The Annex Gleaner. He programs the Dialogues section at the Toronto International Film Festival for Cinematheque Ontario.

**John Hopkins** is a freelance writer living in Prince Edward Island.

**Charles Mandel** is an Edmonton journalist. He is a regular contributor to Canadian Screenwriter, Canadian Business and The National Post.

**Tom McSorley** is the executive director of the Canadian Film Institute in Ottawa and a sessional lecturer in film studies at Carleton University. He is a freelance film and television critic for the CBC and a programmer at the World Film Festival in Montreal. He is also a contributing editor to *Take One*.

**Isa Tousignant** is a freelance writer and a graduate student in film studies. She lives and works in Montreal.

Claire Valade is a freelance journalist and filmmaker living in Montreal.

Jack Vermee is a Vancouver film writer/programmer who works with The Ridge, Pacific Cinematheque and the Vancouver International Film Festival.

**Wyndham Wise** is the editor—in—chief and publisher of *Take One*, which he launched in 1992, and the editor of *Take One's Essential Guide to Films & Filmmakers in Canada.* 

heila Copps has been on my mind recently. With two separate initiatives she has come to dominate my life in ways that are not entirely pleasant. And I'm not referring to the salacious spread in Larry Flynt's *Hustler*. With Bill C–55 and her feature–film proposals, whatever the minister does in the next few months will affect the future of *Take One*. First, let's start with Bill C–55.

The bill, as most people must know by now, is meant to protect Canadian magazines from "split runs" of American magazines, like Sports Illustrated, which publish cheap Canadian editions with little or no Canadian content but lots of Canadian ads. This particular bill grew out of a Canadian loss at the World Trade Organization in a case brought forward by the United States. Losing one battle has led the minister into charging head first into another very politicized fistfight with the Americans that is essentially irrelevant to the vast majority of magazines published in Canada. Most Canadian magazines have circulations of less than 5,000. No big, bad American publisher is going to do a split run of Take One, Fuse, Canadian Forum or C Magazine. Traditionally, this sector has been underfunded and it's these magazines that are in need of support, not the large corporations that publish the few magazines that will benefit if, by some fluke, this new Canadian legislation passes muster at the World Trade Organization, which is uncertain at best. Right now there are proposals being circulated in the Heritage ministry to establish a much-needed magazine marketing fund. These proposals, which would see part of the current postal subsidy directed toward developing marketing and infrastructure development programs for all Canadian magazines, won't see the light of day until this political version of smoke and mirrors (Copps has already said she won't enact the bill's sanctions until further consultation with American interests) has blown over.

With regards to the minister's feature-film proposals, the creation of a new feature-film fund would no doubt be beneficial to the industry, but the federal government's lack of commitment to this idea was illustrated by the finance minister deleting any new funds from the recent federal budget. There already exists a feature-film fund within Telefilm, and the creation of another fund co-managed by multipartners could lead to yet another bureaucratic boondoggle like the disbursements of television funds last spring. Still, the need for new money is imperative as is the emphasis on the national TV networks, most notably Global and CTV, to spend more money on broadcast rights for Canadian features. The threat of withdrawing tax credits for American productions is a great worry to the industry in British Columbia, but less so in Toronto and Montreal, where indigenous Canadian production flourishes; although, no one in the industry would welcome a withdrawal of the American cash cow. The oddest thing about Copps's proposals is the idea that Canadian feature films can somehow achieve a 10 per cent ownership of their own screens. Canadian films currently occupy less than two per cent in most parts of the country and 10 per cent seems ridiculously optimistic. Four or five per cent is obtainable if we can dramatically increase the production of feature films, at all budget levels. We need a critical mass, which can only be achieved by grassroot support for young filmmakers, to find the next generation of Cronenbergs, Arcands and Egoyans.

Finally, we received this letter from Paul Almond, now living in California: "Please note that on p. 30 of your Winter issue (No. 22), you erroneously state that Jean Faucher's film was the first CFDC–supported film. One phone call to Michael Spencer will confirm that *The Act of the Heart* was the first CFDC–supported film. Yours sincerely." We want to sincerely apologize to Mr. Almond for the factual error and thank him for setting the record straight.

w. P. Wise